CT Esophagography

Iowa Radiology

What is it?

• Imaging modality/CT protocol to evaluate for esophageal injury whether iatrogenic (EGD, stent placement, etc.), foreign body ingestion, blunt or penetrating trauma to the chest or abdomen, or forceful retching (Boerhaave syndrome)

What is it?

 CT of the chest and upper abdomen in supine positioning both before and after the administration of dilute oral contrast (Isovue 370, 7 mL in 100 mL sterile water ~ ratio 1:15)



What is it?

- CT of the chest and upper abdomen in supine positioning both before and after the administration of dilute oral contrast (Isovue 370, 7 mL in 100 mL sterile water ~ ratio 1:15)
- May add IV contrast and/or prone positioning imaging if necessary
- If patient is unable to drink oral contrast, an NG tube may be placed (if not already placed):
 - Above the level of the cricopharyngeal sphincter if the area of leak is UNKOWN
 - OR proximal to the suspected leak if location is KNOWN



1st series: Non-contrast -single breath-full inspiration from mid- neck through the stomach

2nd series: Oral contrast- single breath- full inspiration from the bottom of the mandible through stomach

- Immediately before scout, with patient sitting on CT table, the patient drinks all the contrast (Dilute lopamidol 370 mg l/ml (Isovue -370) 7ml in 100ml sterile water. Ratio 1:15)
 - Note: The shorter the period between swallowing oral contrast and imaging the better diagnostic value.

Why?

- Allocation of resources
- Patient safety

When?

- Weeknights 5pm 8am
- Weekends
- If there remains high index of clinical concern RE a possible false negative CT esophagram, a follow up fluoroscopic study can be completed the subsequent morning after patient/spinal stabilization
 - This would allow for patient movement/change in positioning the major significant benefit of FLUORO over CT in this clinical scenario

When?

- Weeknights 5pm 8am
- Weekends
- If there remains high index of clinical concern RE a possible false negative CT esophagram, a follow up fluoroscopic study can be completed the subsequent morning after patient/spinal stabilization
- Some may consider incorporating this into their workup during routine business hours

Pros and Cons of CT over FL

Pros

- Faster
- NOT radiologist dependent
- Allows the radiologist to continue at the view box – better allocation of resources during on call hours
- Higher sensitivity
- At least equivalent negative predictive value
- Lower patient physical demand (important in patients who may have spinal instability)
- Better anatomic delineation
- Helps diagnose extraesophageal pathology

Cons

- Position limited (typically only supine)
- Decreased mucosal detail

Pros and Cons of CT over FL

• Overall, improved workflow while maintaining high diagnostic quality

CT vs FL sensitivity and NPV

CT

- Sensitivity: 100%
- NPV: 100%

FL

- Sensitivity: 77.8%
- NPV: 97.9%

• 74 yo F level 2 trauma, unrestrained back seat passenger, MVC, rear ended at highway speeds

• 74 yo F level 2 trauma, unrestrained back seat passenger, MVC, rear ended at highway speeds



 74 yo F level 2 trauma, unrestrained back seat passenger, MVC, rear ended at highway speeds



- 74 yo F level 2 trauma, unrestrained back seat passenger, MVC, rear ended at highway speeds
- Pneumomediastinum prompted this negative FL esophagram



- 74 yo F level 2 trauma, unrestrained back seat passenger, MVC, rear ended at highway speeds
- Subsequent CT esophagram



Additional Recent Case Example

• 40 yo F with recurrent retching



Additional Recent Case Example

• Both the on call FL esophogram and CT esophogram were negative



QR Codes for further reading



Radiographics 2021



AJR 2020

